

**SECRET**

Approved For Release 2003/05/05 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003100120020-5

20 February 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Meeting on CT Recruitment/Selection  
-- 17 February 1969

1. Present were Messrs. [REDACTED] OP;

25X1

[REDACTED] O-DD/S.

2. The meeting was chaired by Mr. [REDACTED] in response to a DD/S request in a memorandum for the Director of Training, subject, Attrition Among Support CT's (DD/S 69-0036), and in substance covered these main points:

25X1


a) The origins of the Support Career Training Program were reviewed. The pros and cons of generalists vs. specialists were discussed with some evidence developed in support of the contention that it has been more difficult to select against specialist requirements than against generalist requirements -- a distinction which incidentally applies only to Support CT's.

b) The CTP will supply approximately 22 Support CT's in FY 1969 against a requirement of 30 -- 11 CT's were delivered in July 1968, 7 CT's in November 1968 and 4 CT's are anticipated for delivery in March of 1969. The Support Services face no problems with the FY 1969 CT shortfall because of BALPA and the numbers of returnee generalist CT's who must be placed in a functional Support Career Service.

c) In the broader CT picture, while there has been approximately a 15% dropoff in the number of files developed by recruitment, there has been a 30% dropoff in requirements. There were only 29 CT's in the November 1968 class against a goal of 40. It is anticipated that there will be approximately 30 CT's in the March class against a goal of 40. Support CT's account for approximately half of this shortfall.

Approved For Release 2003/05/05 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003100120020-5

**SECRET**



**SECRET**

- 2 -

d) It is difficult to validate CT requirements per se except only as a part of overall professional requirements. Further, because of recruitment and training lead time, validation of CT requirements may be pretty much an academic exercise, since CT's on board or in process are committed and must be absorbed despite the fact that during the course of a given fiscal year requirements (against which these same CT's were recruited and programmed) may be reduced.

25X1 3. Mr. [ ] expressed the opinion that it would be helpful, and the group agreed, if specific career development plans were developed for each Support CT assigned to their functional Support Office (this is SOP with DD/I and DD/P assignments). Similarly, he felt it would be helpful for each of the Support Services concerned with CT's to brief the CT Program officers on career paths in their Support Career Service. Both of these problems will be addressed directly by Mr. [ ] and his staff with the Support Office/s concerned.

25X1 4. The meeting concluded with agreement that Mr. [ ] will draft some recommendations to more efficiently implement the Support CT Program and present them to the group on March 5th at 1:30. In addition Mr. [ ] will draft a basic philosophical treatise on what he believes should be the purposes and objectives of the Agency CT Program.

25X1

25X1

25X1

[ ]  
Support Operations Staff/DDS

Distribution:

- O - DD/S Subject
- 1 - DD/S Chrono
- 1 - SOS/DDS Chrono

**SECRET**

UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET	
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP					
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS		DATE	INITIALS	
1	<i>Mr. Coffey</i>				
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY		PREPARE REPLY	
APPROVAL		DISPATCH		RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT		FILE		RETURN	
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION		SIGNATURE	
Remarks:					
<i>cc. sent to all participants</i>					
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER					
FROM: NAME ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.				DATE	
<i>[Signature]</i>					
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET	